

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/694,776	10/29/2003	Toshiro Hiraoka	244631US0SRD	6713
22850	7590 10/31/2005		EXAMINER	
OBLON, SI 1940 DUKE	PIVAK, MCCLELLAN STREET	LAM, CATHY	FONG FONG	
	A, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		1775	

DATE MAILED: 10/31/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
·		HIRAOKA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	10/694,776 Examiner	Art Unit			
·	Cathy Lam	1775			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	1 · · ·				
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 Second 2a) This action is FINAL.	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 12-20 is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-4 and 11 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 5-10 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on 29 October 2003 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corrections.	r election requirement. r. a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of 	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12-12-2003.	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:				

T

In view of the response to the Restriction Requirement filed on September 30, 2005, applicant has elected group I (claims 1-11) with traverse to be examined for the time being.

Claim Objections

1. Claim 6 is objected to because of the following informalities: on line 2 applicant is suggested to add – the— before "apertures". Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 11 is structurally indefinite, as it is unclear what "an exposed portion" is referring to? Clarification is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-4 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hatakayama et al (US 4784901) or Suzuki (US 4640866).

Hatakayama discloses a base material for use in printed circuit boards.

Application/Control Number: 10/694,776

Art Unit: 1775

The base material is comprised of an expanded porous PTFE base sheet and a resin material. The base material is laminated to a glass cloth or a glass cloth may be laminated between two sheets of the base material (col 1 L 59-65).

The expanded porous PTFE base sheet is impregnated with the resin material. The porous base sheet has pores of an average diameter of 0.4 µm and a void ratio of 75% is impregnated with the resin of 40% by volume (col 4 L 8-14). An electroconductive layer can be formed over the base material (col 3 L 67-68).

Suzuki teaches a printed circuit board comprised of at least one metal bonded in laminar contact with an insulating layer.

The insulating layer is comprised of at least one layer of solid sintered PTFE (18). The solid sintered PTFE layer is bonded to the metal layer on one side and is bonded to a layer of porous expanded intered PTFE (19) on the other side (col 2 L 6-15, Fig. 4).

A plating catalyst is coated over the surface of the solid PTFE layer (18) for subsequence metal plating (col 3 L 53- col 4 L 2).

Both Hatakeyama and Suzuki teach a base layer used for printed wiring boards. The base layer is made up of two layers. One has a higher pore volume than the other, and a conductive foil is bonded to the porous layer that is impregnated with a resin (or a layer has lower pore volume).

The prior art are silent about the interface condition between the conductive foil and the impregnated porous layer.

Art Unit: 1775

In view of the prior art teaching, it would have been obvious that the conductive material (assuming it is in paste form) would penetrate into the surface of the porous layer because it is a natural phenomenon that a flowable or paste material would seep (or flow) into the pores or the porous surface.

It would also be obvious to one skill in the art to choose the material for the porous layer because it is a matter of design choice.

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 5-10 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cathy Lam whose telephone number is (571) 272-1538. The examiner can normally be reached on 9am-6pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Deborah Jones can be reached on (571) 272-1535. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1775

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Cathy Lam

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1775

cfl

October 25, 2005